For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR-01-0344 EMC-20
9	Plaintiff,	[No. C-07-1844 EMC]
10	v.	ORDER RE CERTIFICATE OF
11	KIM ELLIS,	APPEALABILITY
12	Defendant.	(Docket No. 40)
13		
14	On January 24, 2012, the Court issued an order denying Kim Ellis's motion to reopen his §	
15	2255 habeas case. In the order, the Court did not address whether or not a certificate of	
16	appealability should issue. See Section 2255 Case Rule 11 (providing that "[t]he district court must	
17	issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a final order adverse to the applicant").	
18	The Court hereby declines to issue a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). This is	
19	not a case in which "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the	
20	constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Nor is	
21	this a case where "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in	
22	its procedural ruling." <i>Id</i> .	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		
25	Dated: March 12, 2012	
26		En-
27		EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge
28		Cinica Suics District suage